The molecular structure of a dodecanol-graphite interface defects show by STM: Imaging of pure 1-dodecanol and 1-dodecanol-1,8-octanediol adsorbed layers
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Résumé. — L’étude par STM d’une couche de dodécanol adsorbée sur graphite montre la présence d’une proportion importante d’anomalies de jonctions entre alignements moléculaires. Une observation attentive révèle que ces défauts sont tous équivalents, sans réussir à déterminer le type des jonctions erronées par variation des paramètres STM. La visualisation d’une couche de dodécanol coadsorbé avec de l’octanediol a permis la distinction entre les deux extrémités du dodécanol, donc la détermination de la structure au niveau des défauts d’organisation de la couche.

Abstract. — The STM observation of a dodecanol layer adsorbed on graphite has revealed a large amount of boundary defects between lamellae. A careful analysis of STM views showed the equivalence of defects, but a screening of tunnelling parameters was not able to determine whether irregular junctions are between methyl or hydroxyl termini of molecules. The observation of a mixed layer of coadsorbed dodecanol and octanediol showed the difference between molecular bounds, so the structure of defects was revealed.

1. Introduction.

The scanning tunnelling microscope (STM) is a powerful tool for observations at the atomic or molecular scale. The initial field of STM visualisations was semiconductors or metal surfaces in ultrahigh vacuum. Organic molecules are mainly electric insulators. Their STM study must be performed on a specially prepared sample by deposition onto a flat and conductor substrate, usually near room temperature and in air atmosphere. For small to medium sized molecules, the adsorbed layers are generally found to be organized at the observed interface. A long linear alkyl chain is considered as a favorable part of a molecule for STM visualisation, at least on graphite. Some of the observed adsorbates (see reviews in Refs. [1] and [2]) in air atmosphere are: long chain linear alkanes, fatty acids, primary alcohols, polyalkyl benzene and liquid crystals.

Scanning tunnelling microscopy is well suited for the direct characterisation of the structure of
Fig. 1. — STM views of 1-dodecanol on graphite, 50 × 50 nm² (a) 0.12 nA, 750 mV) and 12 × 12 nm² (b) 0.10 nA, 750 mV). Molecular orientations are marked in a).
organic adsorbates. In the case of significant defects (not singular ones), it may be an invaluable tool for the determination of the structure at liquid-solid interfaces. The direct molecular visualization opens a way to reach phenomena that are not easy to attain in far field techniques. A special interest is in the determination of randomly distributed but reproducible events. We will describe here a STM investigation of a 1-dodecanol layer adsorbed on graphite. The study was directed to the analysis of the defects of the self ordered structure.

2. 1-dodecanol.

STM images of dodecanol \(\{\text{CH}_3-(\text{CH}_2)_{11}-\text{OH}\}\), symbolized on schemes as \(\text{—O}\), adsorbed on graphite (HOPG) are easily obtained. This work was done at room temperature \((\approx 20 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C})\), with the adsorbate (mp: 24 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C}) layer maintained liquid by a solvent (isopropanol or water traces). Observations were done with the tungsten tip within a thick layer of 1-dodecanol (a few microns). Tunnel conditions are not critical for contrast of images, for values of tunnel current from 0.1 to 0.5 nA, and bias voltage between 600 and 1000 mV.

The STM yields images of a well organized surface on large areas (fields of more than \(250 \times 250 \, \text{nm}^2\) without domain boundary). Up to a \(50 \times 50 \, \text{nm}^2\) field, molecules are individually seen (Fig. 1). The molecules are lying parallel to the graphite surface in a two-dimensional array, with an orientation angle of \(\approx 60^\circ\) with lamellae edge. A moiré is also seen; it may be due to incommensurateness between adsorbate and substrate lattices, but its contrast is also dependent on tunnel conditions and sample area (presence of defects). These observations are similar to other recent works on the structure of alkanols on graphite, using STM \([3-5]\) or X-ray diffraction \([6]\).

An interesting STM study of dodecanol has been performed at various temperatures \([5]\). According to the sample temperature, two molecular arrays are described (shown in Fig. 2). At low temperature \((30 \sim 35 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C})\), molecules are packed in a herringbone structure (a); after a phase transition, at 40 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C} all molecules turned in a colinear arrangement between lamellae (b). The structure (b) is similar to the dodecanol bulk crystal.

![Fig. 2. — Arrays of 1-dodecanol molecules adsorbed on graphite (from Ref. [5])](image)

<table>
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<tr>
<th>a)</th>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Array a) t = 30 - 35 , ^\circ\mathrm{C}" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Array b) t = 40 , ^\circ\mathrm{C}" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant information on the molecular arrangements of dodecanol is given by a careful analysis of the visualized fields with molecular resolution, on several samples. First, the actual structure is not exclusively in a herringbone array, as some vicinal lamellae made of colinear molecules are found easily (Fig. 1; such a defect is also found in Fig. 1a of Ref. [5]). Interestingly, the colinear lamellae are always going by two, separated by at least one (or uneven) angular interface from another such pair of lamellae.
The obvious consequence of this fact is that all irregular (colinear) junctions are in the same situation: methyl-methyl or hydroxyl-hydroxyl termini of dodecanol molecules, as the structure 1 or 2 shown in figure 3. The colinear pairs of lamellae are randomly distributed on the observed areas, with a likelihood of 10 ~ 12% of all the interfaces (e.g. 20 ~ 25% of the Me-Me or OH-HO involved interfaces) [7].

As hydrogen bonds between hydroxyl groups yield more stabilization energy than interactions at the methyl end of molecules, case 1 (Fig. 3) seems inconsistent with the observation of no more than two vicinal colinear lamellae. In contrast, an arrangement with all the -OH··HO- involved interfaces in the same conformation is more satisfactory. A screening of the tunnelling parameters (tunnel current: 0.01 to 0.5 nA and voltage: −400 to 1000 mV) was not sufficient to distinguish unambiguously between both molecules termini.

Since a direct characterization was not completed by STM of a pure dodecanol layer, both ends of dodecanol molecules must be revealed indirectly. The observation of a layer of dodecanol coadsorbed on graphite with a suitable product was then considered. This coadsorbate must have certain characteristics in order to fit with dodecanol:

- chemical similarity to help coadsorption (no segregation)
- linear molecule with both ends identical
- coadsorbates easy to distinguish (by some geometrical factors as length)

Experiments were first done with 1,5-pentanediol (mp −18 °C), with no success. Alignments were seen with difficulty, whereas a molecular resolution must be obtained to observe the arrangement. The lack of sharpness in the STM images is likely due to the motion of molecules during observation of such short molecules at a temperature of ≈ 40 °C above the melting point of the pure 1,5-pentanediol.
3. 1-dodecanol dissolved in 1,8-octanediol.

The 1,8-octanediol (molecular length \(\approx 1.3\) nm) was tested in several ratios with dodecanol (molecular length \(\approx 1.7\) nm). Interesting images were obtained with a mixture of \(\approx 20\%\) dodecanol in \(\approx 80\%\) octanediol, pairs of dodecanol lamellae are seen dispersed into the octanediol matrix. We looked for the case where the expected defects are also present in the coadsorbate layer. Two situations have to be considered, relating to the arrangements of pure dodecanol; these are schematized in figure 4 (O—O stands for 1,8-octanediol). If the defects are at the -OH ... HO- junction, the presence of such colinear arrangements is highly likely between lamellae of octanediol molecules. All the observed interfaces between octanediol lamellae were found in angular (or normal) patterns. Figure 5 shows unfiltered STM images of 100 \(\times\) 100 nm\(^2\) (a) and 25 \(\times\) 25 nm\(^2\) (b) fields of dodecanol coadsorbed with octanediol. With a scale of 100 \(\times\) 100 nm\(^2\), only lamellae are distinguished, displaying the good dispersion of dodecanol (see arrows in Fig. 5a). A 25 \(\times\) 25 nm\(^2\) area (Fig. 5a) is shown with molecular resolution, all interfaces are regular. In such a view, since the molecular ends of dodecanol are known, the junction inside a pair of dodecanol lamellae has to be Me Me (see arrows in Fig. 5b). Notice the lateral sliding of lamellae in the central part of view (Fig. 5, arrows at both ends). An area of 15 \(\times\) 15 nm\(^2\) with the desired defects is presented in figure 6. On this crude high resolution STM image, the molecular orientations are schematized for interesting lamellae. It is easy to see the presence of colinear junction inside the dodecanol pair, revealing that defects are located at the Me Me molecular interface (as in Fig. 4.2). With a good probability, the defects of the pure dodecanol layer can be considered in the same situation, as schematized in figure 3.2.

![Fig. 4. — Molecular structures of 1-dodecanol-1,8-octanediol coadsorbed on graphite 1) Defects at the -OH ... HO- termini of dodecanol molecules, 2) Defect at the -Me Me- termini of dodecanol molecules.](image-url)
Fig. 5. — STM images of $100 \times 100$ nm$^2$ (a) 0.12 nA 1450 mV) and $25 \times 25$ nm$^2$ (b) 0.08 nA 1315 mV) fields of 1-dodecanol coadsorbed with 1,8-octanediol. Arrows show dodecanol lamellae, in b) notice the lateral sliding of lamellae marked with two arrows.
4. Conclusion.

The STM analysis of a layer of pure dodecanol adsorbed on graphite revealed the presence of one class of structure defects. The study of coadsorbed dodecanol-octanediol was found to be complementary to the first one and allowed the nature of the structural defects to be elucidated.

The knowledge of structures at liquid-solid interface is of great interest, as in moistening, coating, lubrication and adhesion sciences.

Fig. 6. — STM view of $15 \times 15 \text{ nm}^2$ area with colinear lamellae of dodecanol, coadsorbed with octanediol (0.10 nA, 1200 mV). Molecular orientations are shown.

5. Experimental.

Chemicals (1-dodecanol, 1,5-pentanediol and 1,8-octanediol) were purchased from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland), solvents (iPrOH and ethanol) were obtained from Carlo Erba (Milano, Italia); all solvents and chemicals were used without further purification. Graphite (HOPG) was purchased from Le Carbone Lorraine (Gennevilliers, France).

STM experiments were done on a Nanoscope II (from Digital Instruments, Santa Barbara, California) equipped with electrochemically etched tungsten lab-made tips (wire diameter 0.2 mm, etched in 1N NaOH in ethanol-water solution). An “A” head (maxi scan area $660 \times 660 \text{ nm}^2$) was used, equipped with a low noise preamplifier.

Samples were prepared by spreading of a drop of the alkanol onto a small piece of HOPG. The layer of adsorbate was maintained liquid, generally by adding isopropanol or by melting near...
a heat source (office lamp for dodecanol), to avoid crashing the tip by contact with crystalline deposit. Images were obtained in the constant current mode (or height mode), under usual tunnelling conditions. For pure dodecanol, tunnel current from 0.01 to 0.5 nA and biases of −400 to 1000 mV were tested, whereas useful values are 0.1 ~ 0.5 nA and 600 ~ 1000 mV. The observation of dodecanol-pentanediol was performed using 0.15 ~ 0.2 nA and 740 ~ 1400 mV, and the imaging of dodecanol-octanediol blend was done in 0.04 ~ 0.12 nA and 1090 ~ 1450 mV tunnel conditions.
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